

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTO	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
Γ	08/905,254 -	08/01/97	DURKOT	٦		3935018001 MINER
			IM62/0317			
	ROBERT C NA				WHILLING , M	PAPER NUMBER
	FISH AND RI		• •			16
	BOSTON MA 0	2110			DATÉ MÁIEED:	
		•		(03/17/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No. 08/905,254

App.__nt(s)

Examiner

Monique Wills

Group Art Unit 1745

Durkot et al.

TH	IE PER	IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]					
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
	b) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
	date or determ	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
	Appel period	lant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any I for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
		t's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Feb 28, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, DT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
X	The p	roposed amendment(s):					
	⊠ w	🗴 will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.					
	□ w	ill not be entered because:					
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).					
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).					
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
	NO	TE: The skilled artisan would be motivated to increase the cell perfromace of Yoshizawa, because "optimum"					
		composition and combination" (col.4, 40-45) does not teach away from modifying the size of zinc particles.					
	□ A -	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
	New! separ	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.					
		offidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:					
		affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.					
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):					
	Claim	s allowed:					
		s objected to:					
	Claim	s rejected: <u>1-28</u>					
	The p	proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.					
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).					
	Othe	millime					